OIPE
APR 20 2007
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No.: M4065.0917/P917
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Chandra Mouli

Group Art Unit: 2878

Application No.: 10/725,494

Examiner: Patrick J. Lee

Filed: December 3, 2003

Allowed: January 31, 2007

For: AN IMAGE SENSOR, AN IMAGE SENSOR PIXEL, AND METHODS OF FORMING THE SAME (AS AMENDED)

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant agree in part with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in that the prior art of record fails to disclose, teach or suggest the claimed invention. However, Applicant note that the Examiner's statement fails to address all limitations of all of the allowed claims. For example, no claim limitations were not discussed in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance, including those in the dependent claims, each of which defines a unique combination of features not shown or suggested by the prior art, providing additional reasons for allowance of each claim.

Furthermore, Applicant respectfully submit that the scope of the claimed invention should not be limited by the Examiner's statement, but rather the scope of the claimed invention should be based on the actual language of the allowed claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 19, 2007

By _____ #41,169
Thomas J. D'Amico
Registration No. 28,371
Michael A. Weinstein
Registration No.: 53,754
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 420-2232
Attorneys for Applicants